

**2nd Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee
(ETEC)**

Date: 13.02.2019 at NHIDCL (HQ).

Name of work: Restoration of Road by providing & laying of WBM-III, Bituminous Macadam & Bituminous Concrete including construction of Protection walls, Cross Drainage works from Km 0.000 to Km 80.000 (Ramban - Doda) on Batote-Kishtwar-Sinthanpass road in the State of Jammu & Kashmir(Package-I).

It was noted that ETEC in its earlier meeting dated 07.02.2019 had recommended that the clarifications, as suggested by the financial consultant, be sought from the bidders. After the approval of the Competent Authority, the bidders were requested to clarify the observations pertaining to their respective bids. It was noted by the Committee that all the three bidders submitted the replies to the observations.

- i. M/s Shiva Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.
- ii. M/s SRM Contractors Pvt. Ltd.
- iii. M/s Khandey Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

2. ETEC further noted that the minimum eligibility/qualification criteria as per RFP are as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Lakhs
1	Time for completion 12 months/1 Year	
2	Estimated Cost	2509.40
3	Minimum Criteria for Average Annual Turnover (For each Applicant)	1003.76
4	Eligibility criteria as completed work of similar nature during last 5 years (One similar Completed work not less than the amount equal to 40% of the value of contract)	1003.76
5	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Applicant) as per clause 4.6 of Section 2 Instructions to Bidders (ITB)	2509.40
6	Line (s) of credit and availability of other financial resource facilities certified by bankers as per clause 4.2 (g) of Section 2 Instructions to Bidders (ITB)	250.94

3. Financial consultant vide their report dated 12.02.2019 examined the clarifications received from the bidders as per the requirement of RFP (Annexure-I).

ETEC observed the following in respect of each bidder:

3.1 M/s Malik Construction Infra Pvt. Ltd.

3.1.1 ETEC noted that as per the report of the financial consultant, none of the project falls in the category of eligible project or the work has not been done for the Govt. entity. As per the qualification requirement of bidder as per the clause 4 of RFP, the bidder is required to submit the experience certificate in accordance with



clause 4.2 (c) of the RFP. Since none of the claimed project qualifies under the eligible category. ETEC is of the opinion that the bid of **M/s Malik Construction Infra Pvt. Ltd.** is non-responsive in terms of clause 4.4.A (b) of the section -II of RFP and should not be considered for financial opening as recommend by the financial consultant.

3.2 **M/s Shiva Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.**

3.2.1 The bidder has replied to the queries vide letter no. nil dated 08.02.2019 (Soft and Hard Copy) which were examined by the financial consultant and the replies were found to be in order and the bid submitted by **M/s Shiva Buildtech Pvt. Ltd** was found to be responsive and met the eligibility criteria. Financial consultant recommended the bidder as qualified for the next stage of the bidding process. ETEC agreed with the recommendation of financial consultant.

3.3 **M/s SRM Contractors Pvt. Ltd.**

3.3.1 The bidder has replied to the queries vide letter no SRM/Tendering/2018-19/307 dated 09.02.2019 (Soft and Hard Copy) which were examined by the financial consultant and the replies were found to be in order and the bid submitted by **M/s SRM Contractors Pvt. Ltd.** was found to be responsive and met the eligibility criteria. Financial consultant recommended the bidder as qualified for the next stage of the bidding process. ETEC agreed with the recommendation of financial consultant.

3.4 **M/s Khandey Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

3.4.1 The bidder vide letter no KIPL/Clerif.0-80/2018-19-1 dated 09.02.2019 replied (Soft and Hard Copy) to the queries which were examined by the Financial Consultant. Financial consultant in his report submitted that as per RFP clause 4.4 (b) of section II Instruction to Bidders , to qualify for award of the contract the bidder should have satisfactorily completed (not less than 90% of contract value), as a prime contractor, similar works during last five years ending last day of month previous to the one in which bids are invited, at least one Highway (Road/or Bridge Works)/ Airport runway of 40% of the value of contract (i.e. Rs. 1003.76 Lakh).

3.4.2 The project "*Restoration of road by way of clearance of landslide, surface boulders side drain, culverts including construction of R/wall and B/walls and laying of WBM-I, WBM-II, WBM-III & Bituminous Carpeting on Sinthanpass- Anantnag -Khanabal road (Km 184.000 to Km 265.000) in the state of Jammu & Kashmir*" claimed by the bidder **M/s Khandey Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.** as one of the eligible project is not completed and is under execution. The Project belong to NHIDCL and as per records the financial progress upto last IPC is Rs. 8, 60,34,554.00 i.e. 68.96%. Further Branch office has confirmed that no further IPC has been submitted by the contractor. The total financial progress upto last IPC The total contract amount is Rs. 12,47,70,022.00 (Annexure-II). Hence the project was not considered as it is not substantially completed as per RFP.



3.4.3 Financial Consultant examined the clarification and mention that Road Project i.e. Upgradation of Larkipora Zaidoora Lisser Road from Km 1 to Km 11 (**Average width 5.50 m**) in Dist Anantnag is not National Highway or state Highway, hence cannot be considered as eligible project. ETEC is of the opinion that the bid of **M/s Khandey Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.** is non-responsive in terms of clause 4.4.A (b) of the section -II of RFP and should not be considered for financial opening.

4. The following was observed by the ETEC regarding the qualification of each bidder whose bids were technically responsive:


Sr. No	Name of the Applicant	Eligibility Requirement					
		Bids Security Rs. 50.00	Average annual turnover for last 5 Years Rs. 1003.76	One similar Completed work not less than the amount equal to 40% of the total value of contract Rs. 1003.76 Lakh	Credit and availability of other financial resource facilities (10% of Contract value) Rs. 250.94	Bid Capacity Rs. 2509.40	Eligible or Not
1/5	Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.	Valid	3010.00	Restoration of Road by way of clearance of consolidated slips surface boulders, side drains, culvert including reconstruction of damaged edge walls and R/walls on Batote Kishtwar Sinthanpass road, NH 244 from km 0.000 to km 25.000 (Ramban Division) in J & K State Escalated Project Cost 2051.00	500.00	9714.21	Eligible
2/5	Khanday Infrastructure P Ltd.	Valid	2515.00	No Eligible Project	501.00	9207.92	Non-Eligible
3/5	Malik Construction Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Valid	1208.00	No Eligible Project	627.00	4738.82	Non-Eligible
4/5	Shiv Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.	Valid	5752.00	4 laning of Rewari-Sohna-Palwal Road NH 71 B (New NH 919) from km 50.800 to km 53.550 in Gurgaon Dist. Escalated Project Cost 1776.00	300.00	16807.71	Eligible

5/5	SRM Contractors Pvt. Ltd.	Valid	4139.00	Restoration of Road by way of clearance of consolidated slip drain, culverts including re-construction of damaged edge walls and R/walls on Batote-Kishtwar (NH 244) from Division-Doda, km 26.00 to km 80.00 in J & K Escalated Project Cost 2586.00	260.00	9934.00	Eligible
-----	---------------------------------	-------	---------	--	--------	---------	----------


5. ETEC agreed with the recommendations of the Financial Consultant in respect of the clarifications received as per **Annexure-I**, fulfilment/non-fulfilment of the minimum eligibility criteria by the each bidder as per para 4 above. The committee after due deliberations recommended the financial opening of the following firms after obtaining approval from the Competent Authority:

- i. M/s Shiva Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.
- ii. M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.
- iii. M/s SRM Contractors Pvt. Ltd.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.


Uttam Chatterjee, DGM (Fin.)
(Member)


M.S. Deol, GM (Tech.)
(Member)


Pradeep Sharma, GM (T)
(Member Secretary)


Sanjeev Malik, ED-III
(Convener)